

EVIDENCE TABLES:

INTERVENTIONS THAT INCREASE THE UTILIZATION OF MEDICARE-FUNDED PREVENTIVE SERVICES FOR PERSONS AGE 65 AND OLDER



PREPARED FOR: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Health Care Financing Administration
7500 Security Blvd.
Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

PREPARED BY: RAND

CONTRACT NUMBER: 500-98-0281

CONTRACT PERIOD: September 30, 1998 to September 29, 2003

**Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination**

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1		Intervention 2		Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
				Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	
BECK, 1997 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 161 Baseline rate: 72.0 Follow-up rate: 64.0	N: 160 Baseline rate: 74.0 Follow-up rate: 81.0					17.0
BECKER, 1989 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 56 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.9	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0					16.1
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 56 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.9	N: 45 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 17.8					8.9
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: 45 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 17.8					-7.2
BENNETT, 1994 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Provider Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 66.0					16.0
BENNETT, 1994 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Financial, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 55.7	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 73.1					17.4
BLACK, 1993 Other Country RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Patient Education	N: 155 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 56.6	N: 204 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 56.1					-0.5
BLOOM, 1988 USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0	N: 25 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0					76.0
				Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0	N: 25 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 80.0					80.0
				Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0	N: 23 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 78.0					78.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 25 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0	N: 25 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 80.0					4.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
BLOOM, 1988 USA CCT (continued)				Patient Education	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 25 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0	N: 23 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 78.0	2.0
				Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 25 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 80.0	N: 23 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 78.0	-2.0
BRADY, 1988 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 252 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 59.0	N: 280 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 62.0	3.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 252 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 59.0	N: 279 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 63.0	4.0
				Provider Education, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 280 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 62.0	N: 279 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 63.0	1.0
BRIMBERRY, 1988 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 262 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 3.8	N: 267 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.7	5.9
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 262 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 3.8	N: 258 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.3	5.5
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 267 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.7	N: 258 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.3	-0.4
BUCHNER, 1987 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 194 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 54.1	N: 196 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 55.1	1.0
BUFFINGTON, 1991 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Other provider- types	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: 4772 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 50.0	N: 2149 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 66.0	16.0
				Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 4772 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 50.0	N: 3604 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 67.0	17.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
CHAMBERS, 1991 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 218 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	N: 271 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 52.0	19.0
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 218 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	N: 72 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	0.0
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 218 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	N: 74 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 35.0	2.0
				Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 271 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 52.0	N: 72 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	-19.0
				Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 271 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 52.0	N: 74 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 35.0	-17.0
				Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 72 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	N: 74 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 35.0	2.0
				Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 72 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0	N: 74 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 35.0	2.0
CHARLES, 1994 Other Country CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Patient Regulatory	N: 103 Baseline rate: 25.2 Follow-up rate: 59.2	N: 85 Baseline rate: 25.7 Follow-up rate: 54.1	-5.6
CHENY, 1987 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.5	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	23.5
COHEN, 1982 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 291 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 4.0	N: 581 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.0	32.0
COWAN, 1992 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 23 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.5	N: 29 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.7	-0.8
				Feedback, Provider Reminder	Feedback, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 2149 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 66.0	N: 3604 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 67.0	1.0
DIETRICH, 1989 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 37 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 40 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	0.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
HERMAN, 1994 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	271 34.3 41.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	242 46.7 44.6	2.9
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	271 34.3 41.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	243 31.3 55.1	13.4
				Provider Education, Patient Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	242 46.7 44.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	243 31.3 55.1	10.5
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 27.7 28.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 10.1 26.8	15.6
				Feedback, Patient Financial	Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Financial	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	761 40.6 54.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	558 41.2 63.6	9.5
				Feedback, Patient Financial	Feedback	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	761 40.6 54.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	670 41.3 69.1	15.0
IVES, 1994 USA RCT	65 and up Low-income	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Feedback, Patient Financial	Feedback	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	761 40.6 54.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	670 41.3 69.1	5.5
				Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Financial	Feedback	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	558 41.2 63.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	670 41.3 69.1	16.7
				Control	Provider Education, Feedback, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	812 46.5 46.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	690 47.6 62.8	5.9
KARUZA, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	812 46.5 46.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	690 47.6 62.8	16.7
				Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	495 58.0 62.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	331 57.6 68.6	5.9
				Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	495 58.0 62.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	331 57.6 68.6	5.9
KOUIDES, 1998 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	495 58.0 62.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	331 57.6 68.6	5.9
				Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	495 58.0 62.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	331 57.6 68.6	5.9
				Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Feedback, Provider Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	495 58.0 62.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	331 57.6 68.6	5.9
LARSON, 1979 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	80 0.0 30.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	144 0.0 59.7	29.7
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	80 0.0 30.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	144 0.0 59.7	29.7
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	80 0.0 30.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	144 0.0 59.7	29.7

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
LARSON, 1982 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N:	84	N:	68	4.8
						Baseline rate:	34.0	Baseline rate:	34.5	
						Follow-up rate:	20.2	Follow-up rate:	25.0	
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	84	N:	70	31.2
						Baseline rate:	34.0	Baseline rate:	51.6	
						Follow-up rate:	20.2	Follow-up rate:	51.4	
				Control	Patient Reminder	N:	84	N:	61	20.8
LAVE, 1996 USA RCT	65 and up Low-income	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement			Baseline rate:	34.0	Baseline rate:	51.1	
						Follow-up rate:	20.2	Follow-up rate:	41.0	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	68	N:	70	26.4
						Baseline rate:	34.5	Baseline rate:	51.6	
						Follow-up rate:	25.0	Follow-up rate:	51.4	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	68	N:	61	16.0
						Baseline rate:	34.5	Baseline rate:	51.1	
LUKASIK, 1987 Other Country RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement			Follow-up rate:	25.0	Follow-up rate:	41.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	70	N:	61	-10.4
						Baseline rate:	51.6	Baseline rate:	51.1	
						Follow-up rate:	51.4	Follow-up rate:	41.0	
				Provider Education, Patient Financial	Provider Education, Patient Financial	N:	1210	N:	1231	17.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	49.0	Follow-up rate:	66.3	
MARGOLIS, 1988 USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Organizational Change	N:	106	N:	97	52.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	28.0	
						Follow-up rate:	29.0	Follow-up rate:	81.0	
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N:	123	N:	120	24.0
						Baseline rate:	4.5	Baseline rate:	7.3	
						Follow-up rate:	26.8	Follow-up rate:	50.8	
MARGOLIS, 1992 USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N:	1	N:	1	-8.0
						Baseline rate:	51.0	Baseline rate:	75.0	
						Follow-up rate:	54.0	Follow-up rate:	70.0	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
MARGOLIS, 1992 USA CBA (continued)	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 64.0 60.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 56.0 72.0	20.0
MCDONALD, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1290 Not reported 17.4	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1328 Not reported 35.3	17.9
MCDOWELL, 1986 Other Country RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	230 6.5 9.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	218 7.8 22.9	13.1
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	230 6.5 9.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	226 8.0 37.0	27.2
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	230 6.5 9.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	265 9.8 35.1	25.3
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	218 7.8 22.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	226 8.0 37.0	14.1
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	218 7.8 22.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	265 9.8 35.1	12.2
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	226 8.0 37.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	265 9.8 35.1	-1.9
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	564 11.7 25.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	611 11.1 50.2	24.3
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	68 Not reported 30.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	69 Not reported 30.4	-0.4
MORAN, 1992 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	68 Not reported 30.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	70 Not reported 24.3	-6.5
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	68 Not reported 30.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	70 Not reported 24.3	-6.5

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
MORAN, 1992 USA RCT (continued)				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 69 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.4		N: 70 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 24.3		-6.1
MORAN, 1992 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 68 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.6		N: 66 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0		4.4
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 68 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.6		N: 68 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.3		-10.3
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 66 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0		N: 68 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.3		-14.7
MORAN, 1996 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N: 202 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.0		N: 198 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.0		16.0
				Control	Patient Financial	N: 202 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.0		N: 198 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0		9.0
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 202 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.0		N: 199 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0		6.0
				Patient Education	Patient Financial	N: 198 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.0		N: 198 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0		-7.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 198 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.0		N: 199 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0		-10.0
				Patient Financial	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 198 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0		N: 199 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0		-3.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
MORAN, 1996 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N: 138 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: 139 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	16.0
				Control	Patient Financial	N: 138 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: 133 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	5.0
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 138 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: 153 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	1.0
				Patient Education	Patient Financial	N: 139 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 133 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	-11.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 139 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 153 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	-15.0
				Patient Financial	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 133 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: 153 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	-4.0
MORRISSEY, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Provider Financial, Patient Financial, Organizational Change	N: 960 Baseline rate: 45.0 Follow-up rate: 52.0	N: 954 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 72.0	20.0
MULLOOLY, 1987 USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1112 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.1	N: 1105 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.9	8.8
NEXO, 1997 Other Country RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Not sure Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 195 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: 195 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 72.0	47.0
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: 195 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: 195 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 49.0	24.0
				Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 195 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 72.0	N: 195 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 49.0	-23.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
OHMIT, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Financial, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: 1663 Baseline rate: 53.6 Follow-up rate: 57.7		N: 764 Baseline rate: 53.1 Follow-up rate: 61.4		3.7
ROSSER, 1991 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.8		N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.9		13.1
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.8		N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.0		27.2
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.8		N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.2		25.4
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.9		N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.0		14.1
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.9		N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.2		12.3
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.0		N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.2		-1.8
SATTERTHWAITE, 1997 Other Country, RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 930 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 17.0		N: 931 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 27.0		10.0
				Control	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 930 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 17.0		N: 930 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0		28.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 931 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 27.0		N: 930 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0		18.0
SIEBERS, 1985 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Reminder	N: 80 Baseline rate: 41.0 Follow-up rate: 46.2		N: 163 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 60.1		13.9

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
SMITH, 1999 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 4503 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 64.2	N: 4508 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 69.0	4.8
SPAULDING, 1991 USA RCT	Total population Other populations	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 549 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.1	N: 519 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.2	16.1
TAPE, 1988 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	7.0
TURNER, 1990 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 177 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	N: 132 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 47.0	18.0
TURNER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 300 Baseline rate: 20.0 Follow-up rate: 26.0	N: 440 Baseline rate: 17.0 Follow-up rate: 24.0	-2.0
ALN00020, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Mass Media	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: 62 Baseline rate: 23.3 Follow-up rate: 24.9	N: 2 Baseline rate: 30.2 Follow-up rate: 34.2	2.4
				Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Mass Media	N: 62 Baseline rate: 23.3 Follow-up rate: 24.9	N: 3 Baseline rate: 23.0 Follow-up rate: 25.8	1.2
				Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Mass Media	N: 2 Baseline rate: 30.2 Follow-up rate: 34.2	N: 3 Baseline rate: 23.0 Follow-up rate: 25.8	-1.2
ARB60402, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American, Other populations	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 2020 Baseline rate: 31.5 Follow-up rate: 31.5	N: 3364 Baseline rate: 26.8 Follow-up rate: 29.1	2.3

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
CAC9582, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 20.1 20.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 18.3 18.5	0.2
CAC9582, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 17.4 19.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 16.1 18.1	0.3
CAC9582, 1998 * USA CBA (continued)	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	100 18.4 15.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	10001 18.0 17.0	1.8
FL68EO49, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 45.3 47.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 44.4 47.1	0.7
HI0010, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	38733 43.5 44.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	38000 42.9 44.2	0.5
IA006781, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Mass Media	Patient Education, Feedback, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	9 51.4 61.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 54.3 57.4	-7.0
IA006781, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 32.8 41.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5 40.6 52.7	3.2
LAN00020, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Provider Education, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 15.4 25.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 20.0 32.0	2.1

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
LAN00020, 1997 * USA CBA (continued)				Provider Education, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 15.4 25.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 13.2 25.3	2.2
				Provider Education, Mass Media	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 15.4 25.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	2 21.0 27.2	-3.7
				Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 20.0 32.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 13.2 25.3	0.1
				Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 20.0 32.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	2 21.0 27.2	-5.8
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 13.2 25.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	2 21.0 27.2	-5.9
MIEBE023, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	53240 0.0 12.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	240 0.0 16.3	4.0
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	53240 0.0 12.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	245 0.0 12.7	0.4
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	240 0.0 16.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	245 0.0 12.7	-3.6
MIQIN017, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	113 39.5 42.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	46 42.4 46.1	0.4

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
MNA21, 1996 * USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3343 45.5 50.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	2924 44.5 50.3	0.4
MNS31, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 34.0 41.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 35.0 40.0	-2.0
MNS31, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Communities	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 26.0 30.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 28.0 33.0	1.0
MSN00022, 1998* USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Mass Media	Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	50 44.0 59.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	10 48.0 58.0	-5.0
				Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	50 44.0 59.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	6 40.0 63.0	8.0
				Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	10 48.0 58.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	6 40.0 63.0	13.0
MTEB4902, 1997 * USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	8 21.6 33.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 23.8 42.7	7.4
				Provider Reminder, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	8 21.6 33.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 20.5 40.4	8.4
				Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 23.8 42.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 20.5 40.4	1.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
MTEB4902, 1997 * USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16 42.3 46.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 41.2 49.9	4.3
				Provider Reminder, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16 42.3 46.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 46.0 52.5	2.1
				Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 41.2 49.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	4 46.0 52.5	-2.2
MTWY0005, 1998 * USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 1.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 1.9	0.3
NJPQB047, 1998 * USA RCT	65 and up African American	Patients	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21536 0.0 30.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 30.9	0.6
				Control	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21536 0.0 30.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 31.0	0.7
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21536 0.0 30.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 30.6	0.3
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 30.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 31.0	0.1
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 30.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 30.6	-0.3
				Patient Education	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 31.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	21535 0.0 30.6	-0.4

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
NJPQB047, 1998 * USA RCT	65 and up African American	Patients	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16001 0.0 21.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16000 0.0 23.6	1.7
				Control	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16001 0.0 21.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16000 0.0 22.8	0.9
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16001 0.0 21.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16001 0.0 22.4	0.5
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16000 0.0 23.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16000 0.0 22.8	-0.8
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16000 0.0 23.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16001 0.0 22.4	-1.2
				Patient Education	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16000 0.0 22.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16001 0.0 22.4	-0.4
NV890006, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	25000 36.0 36.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5000 36.0 37.0	1.0
OH006, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	137 10.0 10.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	393 50.0 76.1	25.2
OH013, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mainly rural Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5 42.5 45.4	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5 29.0 34.1	2.2
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5 42.5 45.4	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5 34.4 34.9	-2.4

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
OH013, 1998 * USA CBA (continued)				Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 5 Baseline rate: 29.0 Follow-up rate: 34.1	N: 5 Baseline rate: 34.4 Follow-up rate: 34.9			-4.6
OH025, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 4.8 Follow-up rate: 4.8	N: 39 Baseline rate: 25.8 Follow-up rate: 19.4			-6.4
OR9545, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Patient Reminder	N: 24 Baseline rate: 47.3 Follow-up rate: 48.6	N: 8 Baseline rate: 43.3 Follow-up rate: 43.3			-1.3
SCN00020, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 1 Baseline rate: 29.3 Follow-up rate: 41.1	N: 1 Baseline rate: 26.6 Follow-up rate: 36.2			-2.2
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1 Baseline rate: 29.3 Follow-up rate: 41.1	N: 2 Baseline rate: 16.7 Follow-up rate: 26.7			-1.8
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1 Baseline rate: 26.6 Follow-up rate: 36.2	N: 2 Baseline rate: 16.7 Follow-up rate: 26.7			0.4
TNINF01, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Organizations, Communities	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 87 Baseline rate: 35.8 Follow-up rate: 38.9	N: 8 Baseline rate: 27.8 Follow-up rate: 31.4			0.5
TXN00020, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Mass Media	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 0.0	N: 19052 Baseline rate: 19.1 Follow-up rate: 22.2			3.1
				Mass Media	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 0.0	N: 7668 Baseline rate: 12.7 Follow-up rate: 14.9			2.2

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 1.
Influenza Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
TXN00020, 1998 * USA CBA (continued)				Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	19052 19.1 22.2	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	7668 12.7 14.9	-0.9
TNN00022, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	90 28.2 30.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	5 21.1 26.6	3.0
TXN00020, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Mass Media	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 0.0 1.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1358 27.0 37.5	8.7
				Mass Media	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 0.0 1.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	15282 0.0 1.7	-0.1
				Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1358 27.0 37.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	15282 0.0 1.7	-8.8
WAW00052, 1998 * USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16057 0.0 20.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	16082 0.0 21.0	1.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 2.
Pneumococcal Vaccination

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
BECK, 1997 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 161 Baseline rate: 14.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0		N: 160 Baseline rate: 13.0 Follow-up rate: 33.0		15.0
BECKER, 1989 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 29 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.9		N: 26 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 7.7		0.8
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 29 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.9		N: 34 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.8		1.9
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 26 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 7.7		N: 34 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.8		1.1
BLOOM, 1988 USA CCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0		N: 20 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		75.0
				Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0		N: 20 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		75.0
				Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0		N: 24 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		75.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 20 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		N: 20 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		0.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 20 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		N: 24 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		0.0
				Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 20 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		N: 24 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 75.0		0.0
CHENY, 1987 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.5		N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.5		14.0
COHEN, 1982 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 291 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.0		N: 547 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 42.0		37.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 2.
Pneumococcal Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
COWAN, 1992 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 23 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 0.0	N: 29 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.3	10.3
HERMAN, 1994 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education	N: 271 Baseline rate: 30.7 Follow-up rate: 34.1	N: 242 Baseline rate: 24.9 Follow-up rate: 30.0	-4.1
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 271 Baseline rate: 30.7 Follow-up rate: 34.1	N: 243 Baseline rate: 18.9 Follow-up rate: 40.5	6.4
				Provider Education, Patient Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 242 Baseline rate: 24.9 Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: 243 Baseline rate: 18.9 Follow-up rate: 40.5	10.5
KLEIN, 1986 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 122 Baseline rate: 2.0 Follow-up rate: 2.0	N: 136 Baseline rate: 9.0 Follow-up rate: 87.0	78.0
KLEIN, 1983 USA RCT	Total population Other populations	Physicians, Nurses	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 3.2	N: 96 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.5	8.3
LANDIS, 1995 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Organizational Change	N: 821 Baseline rate: 3.0 Follow-up rate: 4.1	N: 431 Baseline rate: 3.0 Follow-up rate: 31.9	27.8
MCDONALD, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 1290 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.5	N: 1328 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 49.2	33.7
MORRISSEY, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Provider Financial, Patient Financial, Organizational Change	N: 960 Baseline rate: 29.0 Follow-up rate: 35.0	N: 954 Baseline rate: 33.0 Follow-up rate: 80.0	45.0
OVERHAGE, 1996 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 243 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.1	N: 271 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.6	0.5

* Narrative Process Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

USA = United States of America

CCT = controlled clinical trial

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

Evidence Table 2.
Pneumococcal Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
RHEW, 1999 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations, Communities	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Managed care, not HMO	Provider Reminder, Mass Media	Feedback, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N:	1101	N:	1221	17.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	5.0	Follow-up rate:	22.0	
				Provider Reminder, Mass Media	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N:	1101	N:	1180	20.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	5.0	Follow-up rate:	25.0	
				Feedback, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N:	1221	N:	1180	3.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	22.0	Follow-up rate:	25.0	
SCHREINER, 1988 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N:	168	N:	180	0.0
						Baseline rate:	23.0	Baseline rate:	19.0	
						Follow-up rate:	27.0	Follow-up rate:	23.0	
SIEBERS, 1985 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Reminder	N:	80	N:	163	13.0
						Baseline rate:	51.0	Baseline rate:	56.0	
						Follow-up rate:	55.0	Follow-up rate:	68.0	
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Feedback	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	15.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	5.0	Follow-up rate:	20.0	
				Control	Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	30.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	5.0	Follow-up rate:	35.0	
				Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	27.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	5.0	Follow-up rate:	32.0	
				Feedback	Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	15.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	20.0	Follow-up rate:	35.0	
				Feedback	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	12.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	20.0	Follow-up rate:	32.0	
				Provider Reminder	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	-3.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	35.0	Follow-up rate:	32.0	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 2.
Pneumococcal Vaccination (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted		Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system		Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
		Target									
TURNER, 1990 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 118 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 24.0	N: 86 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.0				-2.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

**Evidence Table 3.
Mammography**

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted		Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1		Intervention 2		Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
	Target	Target		Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	
AIKEN, 1994 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Patient Education	N: 64 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.1	N: 47 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 48.9					27.8
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 64 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.1	N: 57 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 42.2					21.1
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 47 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 48.9	N: 57 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 42.2					-6.7
ATRI, 1997 Other Country RCT	Under 65 Other minority	Patients, Other provider- types, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 1069 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 4.0	N: 995 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.0					5.0
BECKER, 1989 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.6	N: 61 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 31.1					20.5
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.6	N: 76 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.3					19.7
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 61 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 31.1	N: 76 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.3					-0.8
BRADY, 1988 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 199 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.0	N: 268 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0					2.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 199 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.0	N: 222 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0					10.0
				Provider Education, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: 268 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0	N: 222 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0					8.0
BURACK, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Patient Financial, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 1343 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 1382 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 53.0					12.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
CALLE, 1994 USA RCT	Total population Low-income	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 305 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 34.0	N: 288 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 49.0	15.0
CHAMBERS, 1989 USA RCT	Total population Other populations	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 623 Baseline rate: 14.1 Follow-up rate: 20.6	N: 639 Baseline rate: 13.6 Follow-up rate: 26.6	6.0
CHAMPION, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 78 Baseline rate: 56.0 Follow-up rate: 62.0	N: 74 Baseline rate: 55.0 Follow-up rate: 72.0	10.0
				Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 78 Baseline rate: 56.0 Follow-up rate: 62.0	N: 75 Baseline rate: 65.0 Follow-up rate: 73.0	11.0
				Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 78 Baseline rate: 56.0 Follow-up rate: 62.0	N: 73 Baseline rate: 72.0 Follow-up rate: 87.0	25.0
				Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 74 Baseline rate: 55.0 Follow-up rate: 72.0	N: 75 Baseline rate: 65.0 Follow-up rate: 73.0	1.0
				Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 74 Baseline rate: 55.0 Follow-up rate: 72.0	N: 73 Baseline rate: 72.0 Follow-up rate: 87.0	15.0
				Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 75 Baseline rate: 65.0 Follow-up rate: 73.0	N: 73 Baseline rate: 72.0 Follow-up rate: 87.0	14.0
CHENY, 1987 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 7.5	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.5	31.0
CLEMENTZ, 1990 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 76 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.9	N: 102 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.6	-10.3
CLOVER, 1992 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 82 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 91.0	N: 91 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 82.0	-9.0
COHEN, 1982 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 138 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 4.0	N: 290 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	28.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
COSTANZA, 1992 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 62.5 83.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 46.2 91.1	23.8
COWAN, 1992 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	23 Not reported 4.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	32 Not reported 15.6	11.3
CURRY, 1993 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	305 Not reported 39.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	333 Not reported 33.0	-6.7
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	305 Not reported 39.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	428 Not reported 37.6	-2.1
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	305 Not reported 39.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	413 Not reported 39.2	-0.5
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	333 Not reported 33.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	428 Not reported 37.6	4.6
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	333 Not reported 33.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	413 Not reported 39.2	6.2
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	428 Not reported 37.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	413 Not reported 39.2	1.6
				Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	133 Not reported 15.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	131 Not reported 28.0	-7.0
DAVIS, 1997 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	133 Not reported 15.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	131 Not reported 8.0	-7.0
				Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	133 Not reported 15.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	131 Not reported 28.0	13.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
DAVIS, 1997 USA RCT (continued)				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 131 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.0	N: 131 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.0			20.0
DAVIS, 1998 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 147 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.0	N: 147 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 34.0			-3.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 147 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.0	N: 151 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 40.0			3.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 147 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 34.0	N: 151 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 40.0			6.0
DIETRICH, 1989 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 37 Baseline rate: 14.0 Follow-up rate: 11.0	N: 40 Baseline rate: 8.0 Follow-up rate: 22.0			11.0
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 57.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 53.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0			14.0
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 57.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 59.0 Follow-up rate: 77.0			20.0
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 57.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 57.0 Follow-up rate: 78.0			21.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 53.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 59.0 Follow-up rate: 77.0			6.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 53.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 57.0 Follow-up rate: 78.0			7.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT				Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 59.0 77.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 57.0 78.0	1.0
DIETRICH, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 54.0 69.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 57.0 75.0	6.0
DROSSAERT, 1996 Other Country CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Not sure Not applicable	Patient Education	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1026 Not reported 89.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1044 Not reported 90.0	1.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1026 Not reported 89.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	891 Not reported 90.0	1.0
				Patient Education	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1044 Not reported 90.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	891 Not reported 90.0	0.0
FLETCHER, 1993 USA CBA	Total population African American, Low-income, Other population	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Financial, Provider Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	319 33.7 39.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	307 35.7 55.7	14.0
FLETCHER, 1993 USA CBA	65 and up African American, Low-income, Other population	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Financial, Provider Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 25.5 39.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 30.0 50.5	6.5
FLYNN, 1997 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mainly rural Not applicable	Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	274 Not reported 72.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	266 Not reported 82.0	10.0
FRAME, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Fee-for-service	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	836 47.0 35.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	829 44.0 55.0	20.0
GARDINER, 1995 USA CBA	Total population Other populations	Patients, Organizations, Communities	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Not applicable	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	420 55.1 57.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	461 49.3 52.3	1.1

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
GONZALEZ, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	46 20.0 28.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	50 18.0 64.0	36.0
GRADY, 1997 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 11.2 34.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 17.7 47.9	13.3
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Feedback, Provider Financial, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 11.2 34.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 12.6 40.8	6.2
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Feedback, Provider Financial, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 17.7 47.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 12.6 40.8	-7.1
HERMAN, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 0.0 18.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 0.0 31.4	13.4
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 0.0 18.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 0.0 36.4	18.4
				Provider Education, Patient Education	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 0.0 31.4	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 0.0 36.4	5.0
HUESTON, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	86 Not reported 26.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	114 Not reported 41.0	15.0
HURLEY, 1994 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Not applicable	Provider Education, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	832 Not reported 43.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	424 Not reported 42.7	-1.1

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
HURLEY, 1994 Other Country RCT (continued)	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Not applicable	Provider Education, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: 832 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 43.8	N: 1010 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.5	-14.3
				Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: 424 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 42.7	N: 1010 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.5	-13.2
IRWIG, 1990 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 152 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 7.0	N: 288 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	25.0
JANZ, 1997 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 237 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.0	N: 223 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	22.0
KIEFE, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Fee-for-service	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 58 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.0	N: 61 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.0	34.0
KING, 1994 USA RCT	Total population Other populations	Patients	Non-Academic setting Not sure HMO	Patient Education, Patient Financial	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 364 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 28.0	N: 381 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 42.0	14.0
KING, 1994 USA RCT	Total population Other populations	Patients	Non-Academic setting Not sure HMO	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 198 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 1.2	N: 198 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 14.0	12.8
				Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 198 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 1.2	N: 202 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 28.0	26.8
				Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 198 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 14.0	N: 202 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 28.0	14.0
KING, 1998 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 122 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.0	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.0	8.0
				Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 122 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.0	N: 115 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0	5.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
KING, 1998 USA RCT (continued)				Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 122 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.0	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	2.0
				Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.0	N: 115 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0	-3.0
				Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.0	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	-6.0
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 115 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0	N: 104 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	-3.0
LANDIS, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 43 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 5.0	N: 14 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 7.0	2.0
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: 43 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 5.0	N: 41 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 15.0	10.0
				Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 43 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 5.0	N: 24 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 25.0	20.0
				Provider Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 14 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 7.0	N: 41 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 15.0	8.0
				Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 14 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 7.0	N: 24 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 25.0	18.0
				Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 41 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 15.0	N: 24 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 25.0	10.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
LANE, 1991 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Detailing	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 53.6 64.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 33.3 53.0	9.0
LANTZ, 1995 USA CCT	Total population Low-income	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	322 Not reported 20.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	337 Not reported 53.8	33.1
MAJEED, 1997 Other Country CCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 67.0 69.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 52.3 58.5	3.9
MANDELBLATT, 1993 USA, CBA	65 and up African American, Low-income	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 18.1 18.2	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 18.3 40.0	21.6
MANFREDI, 1998 USA RCT	Total population African American, Hispanic, Low-income	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Feedback, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1174 29.6 28.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1136 38.5 24.6	-4.1
MARCUS, 1993 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	836 Not reported 63.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	757 Not reported 65.2	1.9
MARGOLIS, 1996 Other Country CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	424 Not reported 74.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	384 Not reported 79.7	5.1
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	424 Not reported 74.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	264 Not reported 80.3	5.7
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	384 Not reported 79.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	264 Not reported 80.3	0.6
MAYER, 1993 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Communities	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	379 52.7 63.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	384 47.0 62.0	4.4
MAYER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	91 Not reported 36.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	96 Not reported 32.0	-4.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
MAYER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 92 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.0	N: 92 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 48.0	4.0
MAYER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 31 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 32 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 47.0	28.0
MCCARTHY, 1997 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 4684 Baseline rate: 66.0 Follow-up rate: 67.0	N: 1250 Baseline rate: 68.0 Follow-up rate: 77.0	8.0
MCPHEE, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback	N: 672 Baseline rate: 33.6 Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 620 Baseline rate: 34.1 Follow-up rate: 61.5	16.5
			Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 672 Baseline rate: 33.6 Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 672 Baseline rate: 30.1 Follow-up rate: 55.0	10.0
			Provider Education, Feedback	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 620 Baseline rate: 34.1 Follow-up rate: 61.5	N: 672 Baseline rate: 30.1 Follow-up rate: 55.0	-6.5
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1200 Baseline rate: 28.6 Follow-up rate: 34.9	N: 1200 Baseline rate: 30.2 Follow-up rate: 40.1	5.2
MOHLER, 1995 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 38 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	N: 38 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	18.0
			Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 38 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	N: 37 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 43.0	32.0
			Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 38 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	N: 38 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0	7.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 38 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	N: 37 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 43.0	14.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
MOHLER, 1995 USA RCT (continued)				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	38	N:	38	-11.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	29.0	Follow-up rate:	18.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	37	N:	38	-25.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	43.0	Follow-up rate:	18.0	
NATTINGER, 1989 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Feedback	N:	227	N:	152	13.7
						Baseline rate:	19.8	Baseline rate:	22.1	
						Follow-up rate:	33.0	Follow-up rate:	49.0	
				Control	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N:	227	N:	129	9.4
						Baseline rate:	19.8	Baseline rate:	24.4	
						Follow-up rate:	33.0	Follow-up rate:	47.0	
				Feedback	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N:	152	N:	129	-4.3
						Baseline rate:	22.1	Baseline rate:	24.4	
						Follow-up rate:	49.0	Follow-up rate:	47.0	
NAVARRO, 1995 USA RCT	Total population Hispanic, Low-income	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	16.1
						Baseline rate:	39.3	Baseline rate:	51.8	
						Follow-up rate:	48.2	Follow-up rate:	64.3	
O'CONNOR, 1998 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	234	N:	236	6.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	51.0	Follow-up rate:	57.0	
ORNSTEIN, 1991 USA CCT	Total population African American, Low-income	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	N:	1576	N:	1988	-5.7
						Baseline rate:	11.0	Baseline rate:	20.6	
						Follow-up rate:	27.4	Follow-up rate:	31.3	
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N:	1576	N:	1925	-13.6
						Baseline rate:	11.0	Baseline rate:	18.2	
						Follow-up rate:	27.4	Follow-up rate:	21.0	
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N:	1576	N:	1908	-0.7
						Baseline rate:	11.0	Baseline rate:	11.4	
						Follow-up rate:	27.4	Follow-up rate:	27.1	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted		Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1		Intervention 2		Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
	Target	Target		Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	
ORNSTEIN, 1991 USA CCT (continued)	Total population African American, Low-income	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1988 20.6 31.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1925 18.2 21.0			-7.9
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1988 20.6 31.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1908 11.4 27.1			5.0
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1925 18.2 21.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1908 11.4 27.1			12.9
OVERHAGE, 1996 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	131 Not reported 1.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	125 Not reported 5.6			4.1
						N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	234 Not reported 43.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	248 Not reported 56.0			13.0
RICHARDSON, 1994 Other Country, RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	234 Not reported 43.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	248 Not reported 56.0			13.0
RICHARDSON, 1994 Other Country, RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	247 Not reported 49.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	248 Not reported 48.0			-1.0
RICHARDSON, 1996 USA, CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	195 Not reported 29.8	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	172 Not reported 40.1			10.3
RIMER, 1992 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations, Communities	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Financial, Mass Media	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	199 Not reported 12.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	213 Not reported 45.0			33.0
SCHAPIRA, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	44 Not reported 72.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	43 Not reported 72.1			-0.6
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	44 Not reported 72.7	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	43 Not reported 44.2			-28.5

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
SCHAPIRA, 1992 USA RCT (continued)				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 44 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 72.7	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.6	-37.1
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 43 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 72.1	N: 43 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.2	-27.9
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 43 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 72.1	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.6	-36.5
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 43 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.2	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.6	-8.6
SHARP, 1996 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Organizational Change	Organizational Change	N: 315 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.4	N: 307 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 7.8	-3.6
				Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Reminder	N: 315 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.4	N: 160 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.1	1.7
				Organizational Change	Patient Reminder	N: 307 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 7.8	N: 160 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.1	5.3
SKINNER, 1994 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: 170 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 31.0	N: 265 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.0	13.0
SOMKIN, 1997 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.0	N: 1171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.5	10.5
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.0	N: 1171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.9	14.9
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.5	N: 1171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.9	4.4

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1		Intervention 2		Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
				Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	Characteristics	
STONER, 1998 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Not applicable Mainly rural Not applicable	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 89 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	N: 98 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0					26.0
SUAREZ, 1997 USA CBA	Total population Hispanic, Low-income, Other population	Patients, Communities	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Mass Media	N: 368 Baseline rate: 24.2 Follow-up rate: 38.8	N: 322 Baseline rate: 18.9 Follow-up rate: 37.4					3.9
SUAREZ, 1997 USA CBA	65 and up Hispanic, Low-income, Other population	Patients, Communities	Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Mass Media	N: 1 Baseline rate: 23.1 Follow-up rate: 50.4	N: 1 Baseline rate: 24.8 Follow-up rate: 37.8					-14.3
SUNG, 1997 USA RCT	Total population African American	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 102 Baseline rate: 34.3 Follow-up rate: 39.4	N: 93 Baseline rate: 35.5 Follow-up rate: 50.4					11.0
TAPE, 1988 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 51.0					6.0
TAPLIN, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 46.8	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.6					-1.2
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 46.8	N: 335 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.5					11.7
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 46.8	N: 334 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 61.7					14.9
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.6	N: 335 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.5					12.9
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.6	N: 334 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 61.7					16.1
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 335 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.5	N: 334 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 61.7					3.2

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
TAYLOR, 1996 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 52.0 88.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 84.0	0.0
TAYLOR, 1999 USA RCT	Total population African American, Other minority, Low-income,	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Detailing, Patient Financial, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	82 Not reported 22.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	232 Not reported 49.0	27.0
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Feedback	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 7.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	14.0
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 7.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 22.0	15.0
				Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 7.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	14.0
				Feedback	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 22.0	1.0
				Feedback	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	0.0
				Provider Reminder	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 22.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	-1.0
TROCK, 1993 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	437 39.0 49.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	450 41.0 68.0	19.0
TURNER, 1989 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	103 14.3 15.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	86 15.0 46.6	30.9

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
TURNER, 1989 USA CBA (continued)				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 103 Baseline rate: 14.3 Follow-up rate: 15.0	N: 64 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 4.8	4.1
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 86 Baseline rate: 15.0 Follow-up rate: 46.6	N: 64 Baseline rate: 0.0 Follow-up rate: 4.8	-26.8
TURNER, 1990 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 130 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 98 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 18.0	-1.0
TURNER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 300 Baseline rate: 15.0 Follow-up rate: 26.0	N: 440 Baseline rate: 22.0 Follow-up rate: 25.0	-1.0
URBAN, 1995 USA CBA	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Provider Education, Financial Organization, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 739 Baseline rate: 59.0 Follow-up rate: 74.7	N: 344 Baseline rate: 67.2 Follow-up rate: 69.9	-13.0
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 739 Baseline rate: 59.0 Follow-up rate: 74.7	N: 614 Baseline rate: 50.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	8.3
				Provider Education, Financial Organization, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 344 Baseline rate: 67.2 Follow-up rate: 69.9	N: 614 Baseline rate: 50.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	21.3

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
URBAN, 1995 USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Not applicable	Control	Provider Education, Financial Organization, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 739 Baseline rate: 52.7 Follow-up rate: 74.3	N: 344 Baseline rate: 54.8 Follow-up rate: 77.1	0.7
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 739 Baseline rate: 52.7 Follow-up rate: 74.3	N: 614 Baseline rate: 51.0 Follow-up rate: 67.5	-5.1
				Provider Education, Financial Organization, Organizational Change, Mass Media	Provider Education, Patient Education, Financial Organization, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change, Mass Media	N: 344 Baseline rate: 54.8 Follow-up rate: 77.1	N: 614 Baseline rate: 51.0 Follow-up rate: 67.5	-5.8
WEBER, 1997 USA RCT	Total population African American	Patients, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 165 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 14.0	N: 173 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	27.0
WOLOSIN, 1990 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 54.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 73.0	19.0
CTT6BM02, 1997 * USA CBA	65 and up Low-income	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Feedback, Financial Organization, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 1 Baseline rate: 68.3 Follow-up rate: 69.5	N: 3 Baseline rate: 62.7 Follow-up rate: 73.1	9.2
FL68E052, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up Hispanic	Patients, Physicians, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Mass Media	N: 2 Baseline rate: 81.4 Follow-up rate: 81.7	N: 3 Baseline rate: 76.6 Follow-up rate: 78.6	1.7
MO00004, 1998 * USA CBA	65 and up African American	Patients, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Education, Mass Media	N: 3 Baseline rate: 10.4 Follow-up rate: 14.2	N: 3 Baseline rate: 12.1 Follow-up rate: 18.8	2.9

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

USA = United States of America

CCT = controlled clinical trial

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
NDMAMTM,1998 * USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 1037 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.8	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.3	0.5
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1037 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.8	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.7	-0.1
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1037 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.8	N: 1764 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	0.2
				Control	Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: 1037 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.8	N: 140 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.7	4.9
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.3	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.7	-0.6
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.3	N: 1764 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	-0.3
				Patient Reminder	Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.3	N: 140 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.7	4.4
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.7	N: 1764 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	0.3
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: 944 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.7	N: 140 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.7	5.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: 1764 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	N: 140 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.7	4.7

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 3.
Mammography (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system		Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
		Target								
WVPUB5A01,1997* USA CBA	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Allied health professionals, Other provider- types, Communities	Not applicable Mixed rural/(sub)urban Fee-for-service	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Mass Media	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1 21.0 22.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	3 15.7 19.5	2.3

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
BECKER, 1989 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 38	N: 14	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	29.9
						Follow-up rate: 18.4	Follow-up rate: 48.3			
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 38	N: 9	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	4.7
						Follow-up rate: 18.4	Follow-up rate: 23.1			
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 14	N: 9	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-25.2
						Follow-up rate: 48.3	Follow-up rate: 23.1			
BINSTOCK, 1997 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	18.8
						Follow-up rate: 16.3	Follow-up rate: 35.1			
				Control	Patient Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	10.1
						Follow-up rate: 16.3	Follow-up rate: 26.4			
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	9.2
						Follow-up rate: 16.3	Follow-up rate: 25.5			
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	7.6
						Follow-up rate: 16.3	Follow-up rate: 23.9			
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-8.7
						Follow-up rate: 35.1	Follow-up rate: 26.4			
				Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-9.6
						Follow-up rate: 35.1	Follow-up rate: 25.5			
				Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-11.2
						Follow-up rate: 35.1	Follow-up rate: 23.9			
				Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-0.9
						Follow-up rate: 26.4	Follow-up rate: 25.5			
				Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 1526	N: 1526	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-2.5
						Follow-up rate: 26.4	Follow-up rate: 23.9			

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
BINSTOCK, 1997 USA RCT (continued)	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 1526 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.5	N: 1526 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 23.9	-1.6
BOWMAN, 1995 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: 155 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 24.5	N: 162 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.9	1.4
				Control	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 155 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 24.5	N: 164 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.6	-1.9
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 155 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 24.5	N: 178 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.9	12.4
				Patient Education, Patient Financial	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 162 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.9	N: 164 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.6	-3.3
				Patient Education, Patient Financial	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 162 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.9	N: 178 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.9	11.0
				Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 164 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.6	N: 178 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 36.9	14.3
BUEHLER, 1997 Other Country RCT	Total population Other populations	Patients	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 208 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.3	N: 178 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 10.7	4.4
BURACK, 1998 USA RCT	Under 65 Other minority	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 964 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.0	N: 964 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	1.0
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 964 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.0	N: 960 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	1.0
				Control	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 964 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.0	N: 960 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	4.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
BURACK, 1998 USA RCT (continued)	Under 65 Other minority	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 964 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	N: 960 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	0.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 964 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	N: 960 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	3.0
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 960 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.0	N: 960 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	3.0
CAMPBELL, 1997 Other Country CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Other reimbursement	Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: 248 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.6	N: 296 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 35.1	8.5
CECCHINI, 1989 Other Country CBA	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 8123 Baseline rate: 59.2 Follow-up rate: 60.4	N: 48968 Baseline rate: 51.6 Follow-up rate: 54.9	2.1
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 8123 Baseline rate: 59.2 Follow-up rate: 60.4	N: 5188 Baseline rate: 54.1 Follow-up rate: 57.9	2.6
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 8123 Baseline rate: 59.2 Follow-up rate: 60.4	N: 13584 Baseline rate: 52.1 Follow-up rate: 55.5	2.2
				Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 48968 Baseline rate: 51.6 Follow-up rate: 54.9	N: 5188 Baseline rate: 54.1 Follow-up rate: 57.9	0.5
				Patient Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 48968 Baseline rate: 51.6 Follow-up rate: 54.9	N: 13584 Baseline rate: 52.1 Follow-up rate: 55.5	0.1
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 5188 Baseline rate: 54.1 Follow-up rate: 57.9	N: 13584 Baseline rate: 52.1 Follow-up rate: 55.5	-0.4
CHENY, 1987 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 78.5	N: 100 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 55.5	-23.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
CHERKIN, 1990 USA CCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Financial	N: 298 Baseline rate: 35.0 Follow-up rate: 40.3	N: 300 Baseline rate: 41.3 Follow-up rate: 42.7	-3.9
CLEMENTZ, 1990 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 76 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.3	N: 102 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.6	-9.7
COWAN, 1992 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 23 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 4.3	N: 32 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 12.5	8.2
DIETRICH, 1989 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 37 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	N: 40 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.0	13.0
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 63.0 Follow-up rate: 61.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 61.0 Follow-up rate: 63.0	2.0
			Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 63.0 Follow-up rate: 61.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0	10.0
			Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 63.0 Follow-up rate: 61.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 61.0 Follow-up rate: 65.0	4.0
			Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 61.0 Follow-up rate: 63.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0	8.0
			Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 61.0 Follow-up rate: 63.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 61.0 Follow-up rate: 65.0	2.0
			Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 61.0 Follow-up rate: 65.0	-6.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
DIGNAN, 1996 USA RCT	Total population Other minority	Patients	Not applicable Mainly rural Not applicable	Control	Patient Education	N: 192 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 62.5	N: 238 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 65.1	2.6
				Control	Patient Education, Feedback	N: 192 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 62.5	N: 175 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0	13.5
				Control	Patient Education, Feedback	N: 192 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 62.5	N: 210 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 71.0	8.5
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Feedback	N: 238 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 65.1	N: 175 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0	10.9
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Feedback	N: 238 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 65.1	N: 210 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 71.0	5.9
				Patient Education, Feedback	Patient Education, Feedback	N: 175 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0	N: 210 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 71.0	-5.0
FRAME, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Fee-for-service	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 836 Baseline rate: 52.0 Follow-up rate: 53.0	N: 829 Baseline rate: 52.0 Follow-up rate: 62.0	9.0
GONZALEZ, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 47 Baseline rate: 30.0 Follow-up rate: 32.0	N: 52 Baseline rate: 40.0 Follow-up rate: 65.0	33.0
HUESTON, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 86 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 27.0	N: 114 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 40.0	13.0
KEELER, 1987 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Financial	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 63.4	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 59.7	-3.7
LANTZ, 1995 USA CCT	Total population Low-income	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 322 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 3.8	N: 337 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.7	17.9
LEE, 1990 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Not applicable Not sure Not applicable	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Feedback, Patient Reminder	N: 105 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 4.3	N: 107 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.6	4.3

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
LURIE, 1987 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Financial	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 57.3	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 68.6	11.3
MANDEL, 1985 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 72.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 80.0	8.0
MANDELBLATT, 1993 USA, CBA	65 and up African American, Low-income	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 1 Baseline rate: 11.8 Follow-up rate: 18.2	N: 1 Baseline rate: 17.8 Follow-up rate: 56.9	32.7
MANFREDI, 1998 USA RCT	Total population African American, Hispanic, Low-income	Patients, Physicians, Organizations Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Feedback, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 3992 Baseline rate: 56.1 Follow-up rate: 48.2	N: 4677 Baseline rate: 55.7 Follow-up rate: 59.7	11.5
MCAVOY, 1991 Other Country RCT	Under 65 Other minority	Patients, Organizations Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 124 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.0	N: 263 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	25.0
			Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 124 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.0	N: 219 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	21.0
			Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 124 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.0	N: 131 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	6.0
			Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 263 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: 219 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	-4.0
			Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 263 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: 131 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	-19.0
			Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 219 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	N: 131 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	-15.0
			Patient Education, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 219 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 26.0	N: 131 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.0	-15.0
MCDOWELL, 1989 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 330 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.7	N: 332 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.1	2.4

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
MCDOWELL, 1989 Other Country RCT (continued)	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 330 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.7	N: 367 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.9	12.2
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 330 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.7	N: 377 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.0	6.3
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 332 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.1	N: 367 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.9	9.8
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 332 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.1	N: 377 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.0	3.9
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 367 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.9	N: 377 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.0	-5.9
MCPHEE, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback	N: 672 Baseline rate: 38.2 Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 620 Baseline rate: 30.3 Follow-up rate: 52.7	7.7
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 672 Baseline rate: 38.2 Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 672 Baseline rate: 37.0 Follow-up rate: 55.8	10.8
				Provider Education, Feedback	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 620 Baseline rate: 30.3 Follow-up rate: 52.7	N: 672 Baseline rate: 37.0 Follow-up rate: 55.8	3.1
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1200 Baseline rate: 36.9 Follow-up rate: 40.3	N: 1200 Baseline rate: 37.8 Follow-up rate: 51.6	11.3
MORRISSEY, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Provider Financial, Patient Financial, Organizational Change	N: 960 Baseline rate: 57.0 Follow-up rate: 31.0	N: 954 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 85.0	54.0
NAVARRO, 1995 USA RCT	Total population Hispanic, Low-income	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 83.0 Follow-up rate: 86.2	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 80.4 Follow-up rate: 93.5	7.3

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
ORNSTEIN, 1991 USA CCT	Total population African American, Low-income	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	N: 1576 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 45.1	N: 1988 Baseline rate: 43.8 Follow-up rate: 39.3	-3.6
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1576 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 45.1	N: 1925 Baseline rate: 37.4 Follow-up rate: 35.3	-1.2
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1576 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 45.1	N: 1908 Baseline rate: 40.0 Follow-up rate: 39.2	0.1
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1988 Baseline rate: 43.8 Follow-up rate: 39.3	N: 1925 Baseline rate: 37.4 Follow-up rate: 35.3	2.4
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1988 Baseline rate: 43.8 Follow-up rate: 39.3	N: 1908 Baseline rate: 40.0 Follow-up rate: 39.2	3.7
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1925 Baseline rate: 37.4 Follow-up rate: 35.3	N: 1908 Baseline rate: 40.0 Follow-up rate: 39.2	1.3
OVERHAGE, 1996 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 329 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.8	N: 323 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.8	0.0
PIERCE, 1989 Other Country RCT	Total population Low-income	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder	N: 134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	N: 140 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	17.0
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	N: 142 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 27.0	12.0
				Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 140 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	N: 142 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 27.0	-5.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
ROBIE, 1988 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 30 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 23.0	N: 24 Baseline rate: 31.0 Follow-up rate: 46.0	13.0
ROBSON, 1989 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 806 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 49.0	N: 799 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 76.0	27.0
ROSSER, 1991 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.7	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.5	2.8
			Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.7	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	16.3
			Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 13.7	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.7	16.0
			Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.5	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	13.5
			Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.5	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.7	13.2
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 29.7	-0.3
SCHREINER, 1988 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 168 Baseline rate: 28.0 Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 180 Baseline rate: 25.0 Follow-up rate: 30.0	-6.0
SOMKIN, 1997 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 1188 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.1	N: 1188 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 19.4	10.3
			Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1188 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 9.1	N: 1188 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.8	13.7

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
SOMKIN, 1997 USA RCT (continued)			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1188 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 19.4	N: 1188 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.8	3.4
STEVENS, 1997 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Detailing	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 12.2 Follow-up rate: 13.5	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 11.1 Follow-up rate: 12.4	-1.1
PRITCHARD, 1995 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 87 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.8	N: 80 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.2	4.4
			Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 87 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.8	N: 99 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.7	8.9
			Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 87 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 16.8	N: 91 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.4	13.6
			Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 80 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.2	N: 99 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.7	4.5
			Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 80 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 21.2	N: 91 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.4	9.2
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 99 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 25.7	N: 91 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 30.4	4.7
SUAREZ, 1997 USA CBA	Total population Hispanic, Low-income, Other population	Patients, Communities Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Mass Media	N: 368 Baseline rate: 51.9 Follow-up rate: 60.0	N: 322 Baseline rate: 52.4 Follow-up rate: 53.6	-6.9
SUAREZ, 1997 USA CBA	65 and up Hispanic, Low-income, Other population	Patients, Communities Not applicable Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Mass Media	N: 1 Baseline rate: 44.6 Follow-up rate: 47.0	N: 1 Baseline rate: 33.1 Follow-up rate: 46.7	11.2
SUNG, 1997 USA RCT	Total population African American	Patients, Organizations Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Organizational Change	N: 102 Baseline rate: 51.9 Follow-up rate: 62.1	N: 93 Baseline rate: 50.3 Follow-up rate: 58.7	-3.4

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
TAPE, 1988 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 70.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 65.0	-5.0
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Feedback	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 28.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 32.0	4.0
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 28.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 26.0	-2.0
				Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 28.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	-7.0
				Feedback	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 32.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 26.0	-6.0
				Feedback	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 32.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	-11.0
				Provider Reminder	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 26.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported Not reported 21.0	-5.0
TURNER, 1989 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	103 20.3 33.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	86 29.4 27.5	-14.7
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	103 20.3 33.1	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	64 20.6 40.0	6.6
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	86 29.4 27.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	64 20.6 40.0	21.3
TURNER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	300 23.0 26.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	440 26.0 15.0	-11.0
TURNER, 1990 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	151 Not reported 20.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	94 Not reported 30.0	10.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 4.
Cervical Smear Cytology (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted		Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system		Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
		Target									
WARD, 1991 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 55.0	N: 89 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 67.0				12.0
WARD, 1996 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Education	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 18.0 Follow-up rate: 15.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 17.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0				4.0
WILSON, 1987 Other Country CBA	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 122 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 32.0	N: 118 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 47.0				15.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

**Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT)**

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
BECKER, 1989 USA RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 117 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	N: 97 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.6	14.6
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: 117 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	N: 103 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.6	5.6
				Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder	N: 97 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 20.6	N: 103 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 11.6	-9.0
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 36 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.0	27.0
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 143 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 59.0	42.0
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 36 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.0	N: 143 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 59.0	15.0
BEJES, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	2.0
				Control	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	1.0
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	57.0
				Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	-1.0
				Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	55.0
				Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	56.0
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	2.0
				Control	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	1.0
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	57.0
				Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	-1.0
BELCHER, 1990 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	2.0
				Control	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	1.0
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 216 Baseline rate: 21.0 Follow-up rate: 17.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	57.0
				Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	-1.0
				Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 259 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 19.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	55.0
				Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 246 Baseline rate: 26.0 Follow-up rate: 18.0	N: 292 Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	56.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
CARGILL, 1991 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	193 6.8 2.6	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	206 2.5 32.5	34.2
CHENY, 1987 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	100 Not reported 55.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	100 Not reported 68.5	13.5
CHERKIN, 1990 USA CCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Financial	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	298 14.7 19.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	300 16.7 23.7	2.7
CLEMENTZ, 1990 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	76 Not reported 26.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	102 Not reported 15.7	-10.6
COWAN, 1992 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	33 Not reported 0.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	46 Not reported 4.3	4.3
DIETRICH, 1989 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	37 42.0 45.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	40 40.0 48.0	3.0
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 45.0 46.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 54.0	8.0
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 45.0 46.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 62.0	16.0
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 45.0 46.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 43.0 61.0	15.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 54.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 62.0	8.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 54.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 43.0 61.0	7.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 48.0 54.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 43.0 61.0	7.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase	
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT (continued)			Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 48.0 Follow-up rate: 62.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 43.0 Follow-up rate: 61.0	-1.0	
DIETRICH, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 47.0 Follow-up rate: 53.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 45.0 Follow-up rate: 61.0	8.0
FRAME, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Fee-for-service	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 836 Baseline rate: 34.0 Follow-up rate: 37.0	N: 829 Baseline rate: 40.0 Follow-up rate: 58.0	21.0
FREEDMAN, 1994 USA RCT	Total population Low-income	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Financial	N: 46 Baseline rate: 35.0 Follow-up rate: 57.0	N: 51 Baseline rate: 35.0 Follow-up rate: 71.0	14.0
GONZALEZ, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 73 Baseline rate: 40.0 Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 81 Baseline rate: 46.0 Follow-up rate: 74.0	33.0
HARDCASTLE, 1983 Other Country, RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 194 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 46.7	N: 171 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	-8.7
HART, 1997 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 385 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 27.5	N: 398 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 34.4	6.9
HART, 1997 Other Country RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 380 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 33.3	N: 408 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 37.0	3.7
HUESTON, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N: 86 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 6.0	N: 114 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 15.0	9.0
MANDEL, 1985 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians, Nurses	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 44.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 61.0	17.0
MANFREDI, 1998 USA RCT	Total population African American, Hispanic, Low-income	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Feedback, Provider Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 839 Baseline rate: 9.2 Follow-up rate: 4.4	N: 578 Baseline rate: 3.2 Follow-up rate: 12.5	8.1

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
MANT, 1992 Other Country RCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Organizational Change	Patient Reminder	N: 385	N: 404	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	25.5
						Follow-up rate: 0.0	Follow-up rate: 25.5			
				Organizational Change	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 385	N: 397	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	31.7
						Follow-up rate: 0.0	Follow-up rate: 31.7			
				Organizational Change	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 385	N: 402	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	20.6
						Follow-up rate: 0.0	Follow-up rate: 20.6			
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 404	N: 397	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	6.2
MCPHEE, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement			Follow-up rate: 25.5	Follow-up rate: 31.7			
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 404	N: 402	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-4.9
						Follow-up rate: 25.5	Follow-up rate: 20.6			
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 397	N: 402	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-11.1
						Follow-up rate: 31.7	Follow-up rate: 20.6			
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback	N: 672	N: 620	Baseline rate: 69.6	Baseline rate: 64.8	13.5
						Follow-up rate: 71.5	Follow-up rate: 85.0			
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 672	N: 672	Baseline rate: 69.6	Baseline rate: 69.7	19.0
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement			Follow-up rate: 71.5	Follow-up rate: 90.5			
				Provider Education, Feedback	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: 620	N: 672	Baseline rate: 64.8	Baseline rate: 69.7	5.5
						Follow-up rate: 85.0	Follow-up rate: 90.5			
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1200	N: 1200	Baseline rate: 30.2	Baseline rate: 34.6	16.2
						Follow-up rate: 34.2	Follow-up rate: 50.4			
MILLER, 1993 USA RCT	Total population Low-income	Patients	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 166	N: 82	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	-6.0
						Follow-up rate: 62.0	Follow-up rate: 56.0			
				Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 166	N: 159	Baseline rate: Not reported	Baseline rate: Not reported	7.0
MILLER, 1993 USA RCT	Total population Low-income	Patients	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement			Follow-up rate: 62.0	Follow-up rate: 69.0			

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
MILLER, 1993 USA RCT (continued)				Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 166 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 62.0	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 77.0	15.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 82 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 56.0	N: 159 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 69.0	13.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 82 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 56.0	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 77.0	21.0
				Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	Patient Financial, Patient Reminder	N: 159 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 69.0	N: 95 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 77.0	8.0
MORRISSEY, 1995 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Nurses, Organizations	Both Ac/Non-Ac setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Provider Financial, Patient Financial, Organizational Change	N: 960 Baseline rate: 58.0 Follow-up rate: 43.0	N: 954 Baseline rate: 55.0 Follow-up rate: 91.0	48.0
MYERS, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 601 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 450 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	6.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 601 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 450 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	2.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 601 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 700 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	11.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 450 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 450 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	-4.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 450 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 700 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	5.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 450 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 700 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	9.0
MYERS, 1991 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 114 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	6.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
MYERS, 1991 USA RCT (continued)			Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 114 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	2.0
			Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 114 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 39.0	N: 133 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	11.0
			Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	-4.0
			Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 45.0	N: 133 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	5.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 85 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 133 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.0	9.0
MYERS, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 251 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 28.7	N: 250 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 50.4	21.7
NICHOLS, 1986 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations Non-Academic setting Not sure Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 4134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 4002 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	0.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 4134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 3036 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	0.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 4134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 3225 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	3.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 4134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 1732 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 57.0	19.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 4134 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 1695 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.0	20.0
			Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 4002 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 3036 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	0.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
NICHOLS, 1986 Other Country RCT (continued)	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Not sure Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 4002 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 3225 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	3.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 4002 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 1732 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 57.0	19.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 4002 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 1695 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.0	20.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 3036 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 3225 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	3.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 3036 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 1732 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 57.0	19.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Reminder	N: 3036 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 38.0	N: 1695 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.0	20.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 3225 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 1732 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 57.0	16.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Patient Reminder	N: 3225 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 41.0	N: 1695 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.0	17.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 1732 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 57.0	N: 1695 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 58.0	1.0
ORNSTEIN, 1991 USA CCT	Total population African American, Low-income	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	N: 1576 Baseline rate: 10.7 Follow-up rate: 18.8	N: 1988 Baseline rate: 18.1 Follow-up rate: 23.2	-3.0
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1576 Baseline rate: 10.7 Follow-up rate: 18.8	N: 1925 Baseline rate: 14.7 Follow-up rate: 23.4	0.6
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 1576 Baseline rate: 10.7 Follow-up rate: 18.8	N: 1908 Baseline rate: 9.3 Follow-up rate: 27.0	9.6

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
ORNSTEIN, 1991 USA CCT (continued)				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1988 18.1 23.2	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1925 14.7 23.4	3.6
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1988 18.1 23.2	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1908 9.3 27.0	12.6
				Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	Provider Education, Detailing, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1925 14.7 23.4	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1908 9.3 27.0	9.0
PLASKON, 1995 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Financial	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	34 Not reported 0.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	47 Not reported 51.0	51.0
PYE, 1988 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 55.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 46.0	-9.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 55.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	387 Not reported 48.0	-7.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 55.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	388 Not reported 51.0	-4.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 55.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 48.0	-7.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 46.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	387 Not reported 48.0	2.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 46.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	388 Not reported 51.0	5.0
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 46.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	385 Not reported 48.0	2.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
PYE, 1988 Other Country RCT (continued)				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	387	N:	388	3.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	48.0	Follow-up rate:	51.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	387	N:	385	0.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	48.0	Follow-up rate:	48.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	388	N:	385	-3.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	51.0	Follow-up rate:	48.0	
ROBINSON, 1994 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	39	-21.4
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	72.7	Follow-up rate:	51.3	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	46	-11.4
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	72.7	Follow-up rate:	61.3	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	49	-9.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	72.7	Follow-up rate:	62.8	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	39	N:	46	10.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	51.3	Follow-up rate:	61.3	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	39	N:	49	11.5
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	51.3	Follow-up rate:	62.8	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	46	N:	49	1.5
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	61.3	Follow-up rate:	62.8	
ROBIE, 1988 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N:	36	N:	26	13.0
						Baseline rate:	54.0	Baseline rate:	56.0	
						Follow-up rate:	58.0	Follow-up rate:	73.0	
SCHREINER, 1988 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Reminder	N:	168	N:	180	0.0
						Baseline rate:	29.0	Baseline rate:	40.0	
						Follow-up rate:	38.0	Follow-up rate:	49.0	
TAPE, 1988 USA CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	10.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	15.0	Follow-up rate:	25.0	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
THOMPSON, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	55	15.7
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	83.6	
				Control	Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	55	24.8
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	92.7	
				Control	Patient Education	N:	56	N:	52	12.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	80.8	
				Control	Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	45	25.4
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	93.3	
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	48	23.8
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	91.7	
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	48	17.5
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	85.4	
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	54	26.5
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	94.4	
				Control	Patient Education	N:	56	N:	51	6.6
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	74.5	
				Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	56	N:	43	25.1
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	67.9	Follow-up rate:	93.0	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	55	N:	55	9.1
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	83.6	Follow-up rate:	92.7	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N:	55	N:	52	-2.8
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	83.6	Follow-up rate:	80.8	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	55	N:	45	9.7
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	83.6	Follow-up rate:	93.3	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
THOMPSON, 1986 USA RCT (continued)	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 83.6	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 91.7	8.1
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 83.6	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 85.4	1.8
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 83.6	N: 54 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 94.4	10.8
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 83.6	N: 51 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 74.5	-9.1
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 83.6	N: 43 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 93.0	9.4
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 52 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 80.8	-11.9
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 45 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 93.3	0.6
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 91.7	-1.0
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 48 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 85.4	-7.3
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 54 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 94.4	1.7
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 51 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 74.5	-18.2
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 55 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 92.7	N: 43 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 93.0	0.3

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
THOMPSON, 1986 USA RCT (continued)	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Education	Patient Reminder	N:	52	N:	45	12.5
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	80.8	Follow-up rate:	93.3	
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	52	N:	48	10.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	80.8	Follow-up rate:	91.7	
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	52	N:	48	4.6
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	80.8	Follow-up rate:	85.4	
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	52	N:	54	13.6
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	80.8	Follow-up rate:	94.4	
				Patient Education	Patient Education	N:	52	N:	51	-6.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	80.8	Follow-up rate:	74.5	
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	52	N:	43	12.2
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	80.8	Follow-up rate:	93.0	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	45	N:	48	-1.6
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	93.3	Follow-up rate:	91.7	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	45	N:	48	-7.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	93.3	Follow-up rate:	85.4	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	45	N:	54	1.1
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	93.3	Follow-up rate:	94.4	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N:	45	N:	51	-18.8
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	93.3	Follow-up rate:	74.5	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	45	N:	43	-0.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	93.3	Follow-up rate:	93.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	48	N:	48	-6.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	91.7	Follow-up rate:	85.4	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
THOMPSON, 1986 USA RCT (continued)	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban HMO	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 48	Not reported	N: 54	Not reported	2.7
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 91.7		Follow-up rate: 94.4		
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: 48	Not reported	N: 51	Not reported	-17.2
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 91.7		Follow-up rate: 74.5		
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 48	Not reported	N: 43	Not reported	1.3
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 91.7		Follow-up rate: 93.0		
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 48	Not reported	N: 54	Not reported	9.0
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 48	Not reported	N: 54	Not reported	-10.9
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 85.4		Follow-up rate: 94.4		
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: 48	Not reported	N: 51	Not reported	-10.9
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 85.4		Follow-up rate: 74.5		
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 48	Not reported	N: 43	Not reported	7.6
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 85.4		Follow-up rate: 93.0		
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education	N: 54	Not reported	N: 51	Not reported	-19.9
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 54	Not reported	N: 43	Not reported	-1.4
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 94.4		Follow-up rate: 93.0		
				Patient Education	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 51	Not reported	N: 43	Not reported	18.5
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 74.5		Follow-up rate: 93.0		
				Control	Feedback	N: Not reported	Not reported	N: Not reported	Not reported	13.0
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 25.0		Follow-up rate: 38.0		
				Control	Provider Reminder	N: Not reported	Not reported	N: Not reported	Not reported	34.0
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement			Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 25.0		Follow-up rate: 59.0		
				Control	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N: Not reported	Not reported	N: Not reported	Not reported	31.0
						Baseline rate: Not reported		Baseline rate: Not reported		
						Follow-up rate: 25.0		Follow-up rate: 56.0		

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
TIERNEY, 1986 USA RCT (Continued)				Feedback	Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	21.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	38.0	Follow-up rate:	59.0	
				Feedback	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	18.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	38.0	Follow-up rate:	56.0	
				Provider Reminder	Feedback, Provider Reminder	N:	Not reported	N:	Not reported	-3.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	59.0	Follow-up rate:	56.0	
TURNER, 1989 USA CBA	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	103	N:	86	-6.0
						Baseline rate:	34.1	Baseline rate:	32.6	
						Follow-up rate:	50.0	Follow-up rate:	42.5	
				Provider Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N:	103	N:	64	0.5
						Baseline rate:	34.1	Baseline rate:	29.7	
						Follow-up rate:	50.0	Follow-up rate:	46.1	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N:	86	N:	64	6.5
						Baseline rate:	32.6	Baseline rate:	29.7	
						Follow-up rate:	42.5	Follow-up rate:	46.1	
TURNER, 1990 USA RCT	65 and up No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N:	196	N:	147	13.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	46.0	Follow-up rate:	59.0	
TURNER, 1994 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly rural Mixed reimbursement	Provider Reminder	Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N:	300	N:	440	-8.0
						Baseline rate:	30.0	Baseline rate:	20.0	
						Follow-up rate:	31.0	Follow-up rate:	23.0	
VERNE, 1993 Other Country RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	302	N:	307	-3.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	54.0	Follow-up rate:	50.1	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	302	N:	316	-5.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	54.0	Follow-up rate:	48.1	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	302	N:	283	-0.6
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	54.0	Follow-up rate:	53.4	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	302	N:	301	-8.2
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	54.0	Follow-up rate:	45.8	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
VERNE, 1993 Other Country RCT (continued)	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	302	N:	333	-2.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	54.0	Follow-up rate:	52.0	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	307	N:	316	-2.0
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	50.1	Follow-up rate:	48.1	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	307	N:	283	3.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	50.1	Follow-up rate:	53.4	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	307	N:	301	-4.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	50.1	Follow-up rate:	45.8	
WEINGARTEN, 1989 Other Country CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Non-Academic setting Not sure Other reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	307	N:	333	1.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	50.1	Follow-up rate:	52.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	316	N:	283	5.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	48.1	Follow-up rate:	53.4	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	316	N:	301	-2.3
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	48.1	Follow-up rate:	45.8	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	316	N:	333	3.9
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	48.1	Follow-up rate:	52.0	
WEINGARTEN, 1989 Other Country CCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Non-Academic setting Not sure Other reimbursement	Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N:	283	N:	301	-7.6
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	53.4	Follow-up rate:	45.8	
				Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	283	N:	333	-1.4
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	53.4	Follow-up rate:	52.0	
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Reminder	N:	301	N:	333	6.2
						Baseline rate:	Not reported	Baseline rate:	Not reported	
						Follow-up rate:	45.8	Follow-up rate:	52.0	

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 5.
Colon Cancer (FOBT) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted		Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system		Intervention 1 Intervention 2		Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
		Target									
WINICKOFF, 1984 USA RCT	Total population	Physicians	Academic setting	Control	Feedback	N:	978	N:	869		3.2
	No vulnerable		Mainly (sub)urban			Baseline rate:	67.5	Baseline rate:	66.0		
	populations targeted		HMO			Follow-up rate:	76.7	Follow-up rate:	79.9		

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 6.
Colon Cancer (visualization)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics	Intervention 2 Characteristics	Absolute Rate Increase
BEJES, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.0	N: 36 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.0	20.0
				Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 216 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 2.0	N: 143 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 31.0	29.0
				Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: 36 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 22.0	N: 143 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 31.0	9.0
CLEMENTZ, 1990 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: 76 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.3	N: 102 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 1.0	-4.3
DALES, 1979 USA CCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban HMO	Control	Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: 5156 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 5.2	N: 5557 Baseline rate: Not reported Follow-up rate: 8.1	2.9
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians, Organizations	Non-Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 20.0 Follow-up rate: 24.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 28.0 Follow-up rate: 30.0	6.0
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 20.0 Follow-up rate: 24.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 25.0 Follow-up rate: 31.0	7.0
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 20.0 Follow-up rate: 24.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 27.0	3.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 28.0 Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 25.0 Follow-up rate: 31.0	1.0
				Provider Education	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 28.0 Follow-up rate: 30.0	N: Not reported Baseline rate: 24.0 Follow-up rate: 27.0	-3.0

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing

Evidence Table 6.
Colon Cancer (visualization) (continued)

Author, Year/ Country/ Design	Age/ Vulnerable Populations Targeted	Target	Setting/ Geographic setting/ Reimbursement system	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Intervention 1 Characteristics		Intervention 2 Characteristics		Absolute Rate Increase
DIETRICH, 1992 USA RCT (continued)				Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	Provider Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder, Organizational Change	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 25.0 31.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	Not reported 24.0 27.0	-4.0
MCPHEE, 1989 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Physicians	Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Feedback	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	672 21.0 32.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	620 20.2 31.0	-1.5
				Control	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	672 21.0 32.5	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	672 29.8 75.0	42.5
				Provider Education, Feedback	Provider Education, Provider Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	620 20.2 31.0	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	672 29.8 75.0	44.0
MCPHEE, 1991 USA RCT	Total population No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients, Physicians	Academic setting Mixed rural/(sub)urban Mixed reimbursement	Control	Provider Education, Patient Education, Provider Reminder, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1200 28.4 31.4	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	1200 23.3 39.5	8.1
SENORE, 1996 Other Country CCT	Under 65 No vulnerable populations targeted	Patients	Non-Academic setting Mainly (sub)urban Other reimbursement	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	382 Not reported 29.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	381 Not reported 24.9	-4.4
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	382 Not reported 29.3	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	407 Not reported 26.8	-2.5
				Patient Education, Patient Reminder	Patient Education, Patient Reminder	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	381 Not reported 24.9	N: Baseline rate: Follow-up rate:	407 Not reported 26.8	1.9

* Narrative Project Documents (NPDs) are identified by a PRO number, not an author name.

RCT = randomized clinical trial

CCT = controlled clinical trial

CBA = controlled before-and-after study

USA = United States of America

FOBT = fecal occult blood testing